

1 ***Published as: Finnegan, J., Regan, J.T., de Eyto, E., Ryder, L., Tiernan, D., Healy, M.G. 2012.***  
2 ***Nutrient dynamics in a peatland forest riparian buffer zone and implications for the***  
3 ***establishment of planted saplings. Ecological Engineering 47: 155 – 164. DOI:***  
4 ***10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.06.023***  
5  
6

7 **NUTRIENT DYNAMICS IN A PEATLAND FOREST RIPARIAN BUFFER ZONE**  
8 **AND IMPLICATIONS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF PLANTED SAPLINGS**  
9

10 J. FINNEGAN<sup>a</sup>, J.T. REGAN<sup>a</sup>, E. de EYTO<sup>b</sup>, E. RYDER<sup>c</sup>, D. TIERNAN<sup>d</sup> and M.G.

11 HEALY<sup>a\*</sup>  
12

13 <sup>a</sup>Civil Engineering, National University of Ireland, County Galway, Ireland.

14 <sup>b</sup>Marine Institute, Newport, County Mayo, Ireland.

15 <sup>c</sup>Centre for Freshwater Studies and Department of Applied Sciences, Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk,  
16 Co. Louth, Ireland.

17 <sup>d</sup>Coillte, Cedar House, Moneen Road, Castlebar, Co Mayo, Ireland.  
18

19 \* Tel +353 91 495364 fax +353 91 494507, email [mark.healy@nuigalway.ie](mailto:mark.healy@nuigalway.ie)  
20

21 **ABSTRACT**  
22

23 Forestry on peatland throughout the world is now focused on minimising destructive effects  
24 to the surrounding environment, especially during harvesting. These effects may be mitigated  
25 through the use of well-developed riparian buffers zones (RBZs). However, much of the  
26 commercial forestry planted in Ireland and the UK in the mid 20<sup>th</sup> century was planted  
27 without adequate RBZs. The creation of new RBZs prior to clearfelling may be a possible  
28 mitigation measure in these circumstances. The aim of this paper was to assess the nutrient  
29 content and phosphorus (P) adsorption capacity of the soil, and survival of planted saplings in

a RBZ, positioned downslope from a standing forest and partly covered with brash mats, five years after its establishment. Dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) concentrations were significantly higher under the brash mats in the RBZ when compared to all other areas. The standing forest had the highest concentrations of ammonium nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ), while total oxidised nitrogen (TON) was similar for all areas. Water extractable phosphorus and desorption-adsorption testing also confirmed the high concentrations of P under the brash mats, but P did not leach through the peat to the stream. The overall survival rate of the saplings was relatively high, with over half of *Quercus robur* (oak) (57 %), *Sorbus aucuparia* (rowan) (57 %) and *Betula pendula* (birch) (51 %) surviving. *Salix cinerea* (willow) (22 %), *Alnus glutinosa* (alder) (25 %) and *Ilex aquifolium* (holly) (44 %) did not survive as successfully. The RBZ was capable of providing nutrients for the survival of planted saplings, fertilizing the peat with degrading brash material and preventing elevated levels of nutrients entering the adjacent aquatic ecosystem.

**Keywords:** Phosphorus, forestry, brash mats, riparian buffer zones, vegetation

## 45     **1.     Introduction**

46

47     Peatlands are found in over 175 countries worldwide, are mostly present in moist  
48     temperate climates in the northern hemisphere (Sjörs, 1980), and cover approximately  
49     3 % of the total landmass in the world (4,000,000 km<sup>2</sup>) (Bain et al., 2011). These  
50     ecosystems produce 10 % of the global freshwater supply and one-third of the world's  
51     soil carbon content (Joosten and Clarke, 2002). Approximately 150,000 km<sup>2</sup> of this  
52     landmass has been drained for commercial forestry, while the area not commercially  
53     drained, but forested, is unknown (Joosten and Clarke, 2002). Ireland's forest cover  
54     stands at 10.15 %, or 700,000 ha, of the total surface area of the island (National Forest  
55     Inventory, 2007). The Irish State, under the management of the Forest Service, carried  
56     out the majority of the afforestation in the mid 20<sup>th</sup> century. This was mainly  
57     coniferous plantation on non-productive agricultural land (Bacon, 2003). It is  
58     estimated that 59.6 % (417,200 ha) of forestry in Ireland is on peat (National Forest  
59     Inventory, 2007) and approximately 300,000 ha of afforestation is on upland peat areas  
60     (EEA, 2004; Rodgers et al., 2010). Harvesting of forestry on peat can be challenging  
61     due to high soil water contents (gravimetric water contents usually exceed 800 %  
62     (Long and Jennings, 2006)), low ground bearing capacities of between 10 and 60 kPa  
63     (Owende et al., 2002) and the vulnerable nature of the ecosystem (Forest Research,  
64     2009). In Ireland, forestry harvesting practice (including thinning) minimises soil  
65     disturbance by adopting appropriate mitigation measures such as: (1) the use of low  
66     ground pressure machines and (2) the laying of brash mats, consisting of small  
67     branches and logs under all paths used by the felling and extraction machinery. The  
68     scale of soil disturbance to a clearfell site is dependant on a combination of factors,

69 including the number of passes by machinery, soil water content and the effective use  
70 of brash mats (Gerasimov and Katarov, 2010).

71

72 Forestry on peatland throughout the world is now moving towards a ‘progressive  
73 management approach’ (Joosten and Clarke, 2002), which incorporates sustainable  
74 timber production alongside multiple uses such as habitat restoration, ecological  
75 regeneration and the minimisation of any potentially negative effects to the  
76 surrounding environment. These negative effects may include eutrophication (an  
77 increase in nutrient levels in a watercourse causing excessive flora growth (Sharpley et  
78 al., 2003)), sedimentation (an increase in suspended sediment (SS) release to a  
79 watercourse causing damage to water ecology (Rodgers et al., 2011)) and biodiversity  
80 loss (a change of species, genetic and ecosystem diversity (Walker, 1992)). Coillte, the  
81 Irish State’s current forest management company, is certified under the Forest  
82 Stewardship Council (FSC) to enforce strict environmental, economic and social  
83 criteria for sustainable forest management (Coillte, 2012). This progressive and  
84 sustainable management approach includes more effective planning to provide  
85 protection to water-courses from drainage, fertilisation and afforestation, final harvest  
86 and regeneration (Owende et al., 2002). Some of this protection may be provided by  
87 riparian buffer zones (RBZs).

88

89 The standard forestry practice in Ireland and the UK at the time of afforestation (in the  
90 1950s) led to trees being planted in areas adjacent to water-courses with no allowance  
91 for a RBZ (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004; Ryder et al., 2011). This lack of a buffer  
92 may result in elevated nutrient and SS release into water-courses during clearfelling

(Carling et al., 2001). Other negative effects in the absence of RBZs are the excessive quantity of shade to the stream provided by the overhanging mature conifer plantations, which leads to a death of the riparian vegetation and leaves the bank sides susceptible to erosion (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). The presence of commercial conifers close to the edge of a stream is also likely to affect the emergence of invertebrates and the biodiversity in comparison to deciduous trees (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004; Kominoski et al., 2012). Much of the commercial coniferous forestry planted in the 1950s is now at harvesting age and the adoption of current forest practice which creates RBZs will minimise the risk of negative impacts on receiving waters for successive rotation.

Riparian buffer zones are used in forestry worldwide in areas such as Fennoscandia (Syversen and Borch, 2005; Väänänen et al., 2008), the USA and Canada (Aust and Blinn, 2004; Luke et al., 2007), and in New Zealand (Parkyn et al., 2005), to ameliorate the negative impacts of forestry on adjacent water-courses. In the UK, forestry planning since the 1990s has allowed for RBZs of native hardwoods to provide shade and shelter for wildlife and the stream inhabitants, and for existing conifer streamside plantations to be felled and restored (Farmer and Nisbet, 2004). Current forest practice in Ireland incorporates the use of buffer zones along waterways, with widths of between 10 m and 25 m depending on slope and soil erodibility (Forest Service, 2000). However, RBZs need to be created in old forest stands on peat soil in the most sustainable method possible.

A RBZ can be created in two ways: (1) by leaving an intact strip of forest adjacent to the stream and clearfelling the main coupe of trees behind it, or (2) by harvesting the

trees from a strip beside the stream a number of years prior to clearfelling the main coupe and allowing the area to revegetate, either naturally or artificially (Ryder et al., 2011). Forest buffer zones (option one, with trees left in buffer zone) in the UK have been shown to be successful at allowing sedimentation to occur within the buffer because of a slowing down of the surface runoff due to the well-structured and normally drier character of forest soils (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004) and the increased macroposity from tree roots and soil fauna (Goudie, 2006). This is coupled with the damming effect created by falling debris and protruding roots in the forest buffer, which form sediment traps (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). However, this option may not be practical in the west of Ireland due to thin soil depths, exposed sites and high winds, leading to the increased chance of wind throw close to the watercourse, resulting in a higher risk of sedimentation and nutrient runoff. The second RBZ creation option has potential to be adopted in Ireland, as it increases the primary production in the stream, provides adequate shade and leaf litter, promotes greater biodiversity and taxon richness, and increases sunlight to the watercourse (Ryder et al., 2011). Ground vegetation is also an important method of slowing down flow and trapping sediment (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). It has been noted, however, that there can be a significant time delay in establishing ground vegetation on sites on which the logging residues have been left (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). Ormerod et al. (1993) conducted a study on 11 upland streams in forestry catchments that had been clearfelled from one to seven years prior to their study and noted that the streams had retained some of the characteristics of a forestry catchment stream, even after 7 years of recovery. Ryder et al. (2011) found that the creation of RBZs resulted in increased water discharge and significantly higher SS loads to receiving waters, an

elevated stream temperature, and minor changes in the average abundances and taxon richness of macroinvertebrate communities. These effects were consistent with the short-term negative impacts of felling at the time of creation of the RBZs. Nevertheless, the creation of RBZs in this way was not felt to have catastrophic effects on the receiving water course and its inhabitants, and any impacts were short-lived (Ryder et al, 2011).

Coillte's District Strategic Plan 2011 – 2015 specifies a 20 m unplanted strip followed by 10 – 20 m of broadleaf plantation between a permanent water-course and conifer forest (Coillte, 2011). This would result in the production of scrub broadleaf cover with a protective function only (Coillte, 2011). The tree species planted in a RBZ are generally recommended to be the native variety and species choice will have an impact on the efficiency of the buffer (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). Factors such as shade and canopy density need to be taken into consideration, as RBZs are seen to function more efficiently when there is a high level of ground covering plants (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). Dense planting of species with larger leaf areas, like *Alnus* (alder) or *Quercus* (oak), may provide too much shade for the successful growth of the lower ground covering plants and it is recommended that they are not planted in large groups, but rather dispersed throughout the RBZ with species with lower canopy density such as *Salix* (willow), *Betula* (birch) and *Sorbus* (rowan) (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). Alder is also suspected of adding to stream acidification due to its ability to fix nitrogen (N) from the atmosphere and, therefore, should be limited in RBZ regeneration projects (Broadmeadow and Nisbet, 2004). It is relatively unknown which

(if any) native deciduous species are likely to survive, if planted in upland peats following clearfelling of coniferous forest.

Due to the upland nature of these areas, many of these catchments include headwater streams, which are important salmonid habitats and need to be protected from nutrient enrichment. The phosphorus (P) retention capacity of a soil is partly dependant on its abundance of aluminium (Al) and iron (Fe) compounds (Giesler et al., 2005; Väänänen et al., 2006). Aluminium and Fe are readily available in mineral soil, but are lacking in peat. However, as the mineral layers, where they occur, in riparian peatland buffers aid in retaining higher quantities of P than peat further back from the riparian zone (Väänänen et al., 2006), one option to mitigate P loss from peat forests to receiving waters is to create RBZs in existing forest stands prior to clearfelling the main coupe behind the buffer zone (Ryder et al., 2011). Desorption-adsorption isotherms can indicate the amount of P retained in the soil and show the adsorption properties of the soil, while water extractable phosphorus (WEP) testing measures the readily available fraction of the soil P and is used as an indicator of the amount of P that may be carried from a soil by surface runoff in storm events. Current recommended buffer widths in Ireland of 10 – 25 m may not be capable of removing all nutrients from the runoff during high storm events when the majority of the P is transported, as the retention time may be too short for uptake of soluble P by vegetation (Rodgers et al., 2010). It has been shown that elevated levels of nutrients and sediment are frequently associated with clearfelling operations for up to 4 years (Cummins and Farrell, 2003; Rodgers et al., 2010; Rodgers et al., 2011). Although P can become fixed in the soil and only a small amount may be leached to water-courses (Haygarth et al., 1998), even small



concentrations ( $> 35 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  molybdate reactive phosphorus (MRP)) can have a negative impact on water quality (Bowman, 2009), leading to restrictions of use for fisheries, recreation, industry and drinking water (Elrashidi, 2011; Sharpley et al., 2003). Phosphorus can be found in both dissolved and sediment-bound (minerals and organic matter) forms. Dissolved P is bio-available and is therefore the main cause of eutrophication in freshwater (Elrashidi., 2011; Regan et al., 2010; Sharpley et al., 2003; Väänänen et al., 2006). In Ireland, P is the limiting nutrient for eutrophication (Hutton et al., 2008) and is therefore the nutrient of greatest interest. The limit for MRP, which is similar to dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) (Haygarth et al., 1997), concentrations in Irish rivers to maintain ‘good ecological status’ is  $35 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  and for ‘high ecological status’ is  $25 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  (Bowman, 2009). A conservative value of  $30 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  has been statistically linked with lower biological Q ratings (biological quality ratings) (EPA, 2005), phytoplankton production (Daniel et al., 1998) and increased algal growth in freshwaters (Haygarth et al., 2005).

The aim of this study was to examine the characteristics of an uncultivated RBZ, in an upland peat area in the west of Ireland. The RBZ was clearfelled 5 yr previous to the present study and restocked 1 yr later with group planted broadleaf species. Specifically, the following characteristics were examined in the 5 yr old RBZ: (1) the deciduous species of trees which were able to survive and thrive (2) the soil and surface water nutrient content, and (3) the P adsorbing capacity of the soil in the regenerated zone and in the standing forest. This allowed for an assessment of the function and performance of RBZs to supply nutrients to various native species of

growing saplings within peatland forestry, and to provide some protection against nutrient export into receiving waters.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1. Study Site Description**

The study site was located in the Altaconey (also known as Altahoney) forest in the Burrishoole catchment in Co. Mayo, Ireland (ITM reference 495380, 809170) (Figure 1). This catchment is situated in the Nephin Beg range at an approximate elevation of 135 m above sea level. The study stream is a third-order stream (Strahler, 1957) and is located within a subcatchment area of 416.2 ha, of which 176.4 ha is fully forested (Ryder et al., 2011). The site has a north-westerly aspect, while the study stream, which is one of the main tributaries to the Altaconey River, flows in a southwest-to-northeast direction to the north of the site before turning south to join the Altaconey River. There is a moderate climate, which is heavily influenced by the proximity of the Atlantic Ocean, with average air temperatures of 13 °C in summer and 4 °C in winter. The site is subjected to approximately 2400 mm of rainfall every year, with 289 rain days between May 2010 and April 2011. As a result, the area is characterised by upland spate streams and gorged drains. The Altaconey river responds quickly to rainfall events, and discharge frequency curves are characterised by steep amplitudes and extremely fast falling crests, with 75 % of the total runoff in the Altaconey river originating from direct runoff (Muller, 2000). Upland spate streams are very characteristic of peat catchments in the west of Ireland, particularly within the

Burrishoole catchment (Allott et al., 2005). The average slope across the buffer zone is 5 % and this increases to 35 % within 10 m of the stream, while the slope down the stream bed is approximately 2.5 %. The stream bed consists of boulders and gravel, while mineral-rich peat is evident along the banks and slopes adjacent to the watercourse. Blanket peat of varying depth down to 2 m covers the site, which overlays a sand and gravel layer on top of the Cullydoo formation of Srahmore quartzite and schist bedrock (McConnell and Gatley, 2006). This blanket peat is an *in-situ* blanket mire with an average gravimetric water content of greater than 85 %, dry bulk density of approximately  $0.1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  and a mineral content of approximately 3 %. Bedrock does not protrude the surface of peat and the minimum peat depth is 0.3 m. Closer to the stream, the mineral-rich peat is at a shallower depth of less than 1 m, has an average gravimetric water content of 35 %, a dry bulk density of approximately  $1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , and a mineral content of approximately 95 %. During the course of the study, the RBZ had a yearly average water table depth of 0.17 m, while the average water table depth in the standing forest was 0.42 m.

The site was planted in 1966 with Sitka Spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) and Lodgepole Pine (*Pinus contorta*). In May 2006, an area of 2.49 ha 30 m north, 50 m south and 300 m along the stream was clearfelled to create the RBZ (Ryder et al., 2011) (Figure 1). This is wider than the current buffer width recommendation of 10 – 25 m. In line with best management practice (BMP), brash mats were used to prevent soil damage by the heavy logging machinery. These mats were created by the harvester, which laid the logging residues of branches and un-merchantable logs in front of the harvester in continuous, slope-dependant strips on which it travelled as it felled the trees. These

were left *in situ* on completion of clearfelling. Typical forest practice would normally be to windrow these brash mats into regular rows away from the watercourse when preparing the site for replanting. The direction and position of the brash mats on the southern side of the RBZ are shown in Figure 1. No rutting due to brash mat use was noted on site.

In April 2007, one year after felling, the area was replanted with native broadleaved tree species from Coillte nurseries, including *Ilex aquifolium* (holly), *Sorbus aucuparia* (rowan), *Alnus glutinosa* (common alder), *Salix cinerea* (grey willow), *Betula pendula* (common birch) and *Quercus robur* (oak pedunculate). These saplings were all containerised and of varying height ranges: (1) 0.4 – 0.8 m (birch, rowan and willow) (2) 0.3 – 0.5 m (oak and alder) (3) 0.1 – 0.2 m (holly). All saplings were 2 yr old, except the birch, which was 3 yr old. No fertilizer was applied and the area was not cultivated, but the saplings were pre-treated by dipping in Dimethoate (pyrethroid insecticide) to protect them against the pine weevil (*Hylobius abietis*) (Ryder et al., 2011). This planting was not intended to be productive commercial forestry (expected survival rates of > 90 % after 4 yr), but aimed to examine which species of trees had the potential to establish and survive in a hostile peatland environment. The perimeter of the created buffer zone was then fenced off to protect it from grazing by sheep and wild animals, not including deer, as a sufficiently high (exceeding 2.1 m) fence was not installed.

## **2.2. Vegetation**

A detailed description of the location and composition of the sapling planting regime in April 2007, post clearfelling, was conducted by Ryder et al. (2011) (Table 1). Thirty-three plots in total, 20 on the southern side and 13 on the northern side of the stream, were planted in a 2 x 2 m block pattern with a red stake placed in the centre of the plot for identification (Figure 2). Nine trees per plot were planted, totalling 297 saplings of various tree species across the site (birch, alder, rowan, willow, holly and oak). No planting took place within 5 m of the stream. In August 2011, as part of the present study, a survey was carried out to determine the percentage survival and increase in height of the surviving saplings. An increase in height was measured as the percentage change from the average original height (obtained from Coillte Nurseries, pers. comm.) to the measured height on site in August 2011. For example, no change in height was denoted as a 0 % change, while an increase in height from 0.4 m to 1 m (a change in height of 0.6 m) was given a 150 % increase.

### **2.3. Water Analysis**

Subsurface and surface water samples were collected throughout the site and upstream and downstream of the buffer (Figure 1), mainly during high peak or storm events from April 2010 to April 2011 (n=5 dates) to examine the movement and concentration of nutrients in the peat and surface runoff. Sampling was focused on the RBZ, but also included the adjacent mature standing forest to allow comparison with the original condition of the buffer prior to clearfelling in 2006. All samples were grouped under four specific locations: (1) 1 m from the stream (within the RBZ) (2) under brash mats (within the RBZ) (3) under the vegetated areas (within the RBZ but

not under brash mats) and (4) the standing forest. The standing forest to the left of the RBZ (in Figure 1) is at the same topographical location as the RBZ, has a similar slope and peat depth, and has similar mineral-rich peat near the stream. The direction of groundwater flow on site was perpendicular to the stream and the brash mats. Therefore, any vegetated areas within the RBZ, which had no brash directly on them, were still influenced by the decaying brash material.

Standpipes were installed on site for subsurface water quality measurement and their locations are illustrated in Figure 1. Each sampling location comprised a cluster of 3 sampling tubes positioned at 20 cm, 50 cm, and 100 cm depths below the soil surface. Each standpipe consisted of a qualpex pipe with an internal diameter of 1.1 cm. Holes were drilled in the lower 10 cm of the pipe and this was covered with gauze. A steel rod was inserted into the pipe for support, as it was hand-pushed into the peat. The top of the standpipes were covered to prevent the ingress of rain water. Any water lodged in the bottom of the standpipe was removed under suction the day before water sampling and the standpipe was allowed to fill overnight. Once extracted from the pipe, water samples were filtered on site using 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  filters.

All water samples were returned to the laboratory and tested the following day or frozen for testing at a later date. The water quality parameters measured were: (1) DRP (2) ammonium-N ( $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ) (3) nitrate-N ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ) and (4) total oxidised nitrogen (TON;  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  + nitrite-N ( $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ )). All water samples were tested in accordance with standard methods (APHA, 1998) using a nutrient analyser (Konelab 20; Thermo Clinical Labsystems, Finland). Nutrient data were  $\log_{10}$  transformed and analysed with

ANOVA (analysis of variance) in Datadesk (Data Description Inc., USA), to ascertain the main sources of variation. Date, depth of soil where the sample was taken and the location of the sample site were included as explanatory variables.

Inverse distance weighted (IDW) analysis was carried out on the study area using ArcGIS (Release Version 9.3, Environmental Systems Research Institute (ERSI), California, USA) to show the concentrations of nutrients under the decaying brash mats. Inverse distance weighted analysis is a geospatial analytical tool which interpolates between sampling points, giving a greater weight to values closest to the cell value being interpolated. A ‘halo’ effect on individual standpipes can be caused where very high concentrations are in close proximity to lower concentrations, giving a shorter distance for interpolation between the points.

#### **2.4. Soil Analysis**

Water extractable phosphorus and desorption-adsorption isotherm testing were carried out on samples of the soil from the RBZ and the adjacent mature standing forest. For both tests, a series of sampling points were selected in three transects parallel to the stream in the RBZ at the following locations: (1) 1 m from the stream (n=10) (2) under the brash mat approximately 35 m from the stream (n=20), and (3) under a vegetated area in-between brash mats approximately 45 m from the stream (n=20). Soil samples (n=10) were also collected from the mature standing forest to represent the contributing area. To select the sampling locations, a grid was laid out on the standing forest, and soil samples were extracted at random locations on the grid. Samples were

extracted with a 30 mm-diameter gouge auger after clearing of the Oi horizon, litter layer, which was mainly composed of degrading moss and needles. Väänänen et al. (2007) found that this layer had the lowest P retention capacity and it was therefore omitted from testing in the present study. Samples were then placed in sealed bags on site and were homogenized by hand in the laboratory.

For WEP tests, samples were collected in spring 2011 at two depths, 0 – 0.1 m and 0.1 – 0.5 m, along each transect. Sub-samples of peat (n=5 from each depth), equivalent to 1 g dry weight, were mixed with 30 ml of deionized water and shaken for 30 min at 225 rpm using a rotary shaker after Rodgers et al. (2010). The filtered supernatant water (filtered with 0.45 µm filters) was tested using a nutrient analyser (Konelab 20; Thermo Clinical Labsystems, Finland). The remaining soil sample was used to determine the gravimetric water contents.

For desorption-adsorption isotherm testing, the soil samples were collected at depth increments of 0 – 0.15 m and 0.15 – 0.30 m below the soil surface. Phosphorus solutions were made up to concentrations of 0, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 3.5 and 10 mg P L<sup>-1</sup>. Sub-samples of peat (n=3 for each depth and each concentration), equivalent to 1 g dry weight, were mixed with 40 ml of the P solution and shaken for 1 hr at 180 rpm using a rotary shaker. The 10 mg P L<sup>-1</sup> solution was only used for the samples collected 1 m from the stream due to the high mineral content. The solutions were then allowed to stand for 23 hr before being placed in the shaker again for 5 min at 120 rpm after Väänänen et al. (2008). The filtered (0.45 µm) supernatant water was tested using a nutrient analyser (Konelab 20; Thermo Clinical Labsystems, Finland). The remaining



soil sample was used to determine the gravimetric water contents and the mineral content, which was determined by loss on ignition (LOI) at 550 °C (BSI, 1990).

### **3. Results and Discussion**

#### **3.1. Vegetation**

The overall survival rate of the planted saplings by 2011 was relatively high, given that the site was not cultivated, no fertiliser was applied and broadleaves were planted in an environment hostile for their survival. Over half of oak (57 %), rowan (57 %) and birch (51 %) saplings survived, suggesting that adequate nutrients were available in the RBZ. However, the survival of willow (22 %), alder (25 %) and holly (44 %) was not as successful, but evidence of surviving saplings was found in some plots. For saplings of an original height and nature similar to that of this study, some degree of protection and care should be afforded to ensure growth (M. Sheehy Skeffington, pers. comm.) and vegetation control is vital for a plant to thrive (Renou-Wilson et al., 2008). However, maintenance and grass removal is generally not performed on saplings planted in peat areas. The study area was fenced off to protect the saplings from grazing (except deer who could possibly jump the fence), but grass and other shading species were not removed from around the saplings. *Sphagnum* spp., *Erica* spp. and *Calluna* spp had naturally regenerated in the RBZ, and were likely to be competing aggressively with the planted saplings for both nutrients and light. It is not known if naturally regenerated ground vegetation alone would suffice for excessive nutrient uptake if the native saplings were not planted in the RBZ. Further research should be

carried out to quantify the need for broadleaf plantation on clearfelled RBZs for nutrient uptake.

Figure 3 shows the percentage increase in the height of the surviving saplings from the various tree species. Even though 57 % of oak survived after 5 yr on site, there was very little growth in the saplings (26 %). In comparison, the 51 % of birch that survived had a percentage increase in height of 70 %. Similarly, Renou-Willson (2008) found that oak seedlings only grew 13.9 cm in 3 yr where mounding was employed on a cutaway peatland in the Irish midlands, whereas native birch was reported to grow up to 50 cm per year in the same location (Renou et al., 2007). In the present study, *Calluna vulgaris* was very evident on the site and was close to the oak plots; this may have negatively impacted on the survival and growth of oak. Frost et al. (1997) noted that competition from grass turf led to a significant increase in the seedling mortality of oak species such as *Quercus robur* and observed that *C. vulgaris* had a negative impact on the growth of seedlings of *Q. petraea* due to the hindering of the mycorrhiza development (the mutually beneficial relationship between the fungus and the roots of the plant). Rowan had the greatest increase in height over all other species, with a growth of 73 % in surviving saplings. The willow that survived experienced no growth over the study period (0 %) with the majority of the plants barely progressing beyond seedling stage. Of the 12 alder plants that were originally planted, 3 survived, and only one of these 3 surviving plants experienced growth during the 5 yr study period. Holly, at approximately 0.15 m, was the smallest in size to be originally planted and the surviving holly saplings (44 %) saw an overall growth of 22 %.

In the west of Ireland, natural broadleaf regeneration is rare and is largely limited to river banks of higher mineral content than the surrounding infertile peatland (Conaghan, 2007, unpublished report). No planting took place within 5 m of the stream, but it was noted that there was a number of large trees (>2 m high) of various species (oak, rowan and holly) on the banks of the stream, which were not planted during the saplings' planting regime in 2007. Conaghan (2007, unpublished report) observed that growth of broadleaved trees is more successful in previously forested areas with a peat depth of less than 1 m, and also noted that sites with better drainage and shelter fostered a better environment for the growth and survival of transplants. The exposed nature of the Altaconey site and the depth of peat may have adversely affected the growth rate of the willow, alder and holly species. Conaghan (2007, unpublished report) also observed that the survival of willow cuttings placed directly into the peat was low, but larger willow transplants, which were grown for a time prior to transplanting in more fertile soil, did thrive. In the present study, the height of the willow saplings planted in April 2007 was approximately 60 cm, but this did not appear to survive (22 %) or grow (0 %) very well. Overall, the survival rate of the planted saplings was relatively high, taking into consideration the lack of cultivation, artificial fertilisation and maintenance, possibly grazing by deer and late planting of the saplings. A greater growth rate may have been obtained if any of these management techniques had been employed in the early stages of establishment.

### **3.2. Water Analysis**

Date and depth were not significant sources of variation for any of the nutrient data, while the location of the sampling site was significant for both DRP and  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  (ANOVA,  $p < 0.05$ ). Dissolved reactive phosphorus was significantly higher under brash in the RBZ and was significantly lower close to the river ( $p < 0.05$ , LSD post-hoc test) (Figure 8). Ammonium-nitrogen in the standing forest was significantly higher than that recorded under brash or in the vegetated parts of the RBZ ( $p < 0.05$ , LSD post-hoc test). Levels of TON were generally similar across all locations sampled (Figure 8). Surface and subsurface concentrations of  $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$  were all low and were, in many cases, below the limits of detection with a maximum concentration of  $20 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  (results not shown).

Inverse Distance Weighted images, generated from the subsurface DRP concentrations, show the comparison of the RBZ with the standing forest (Figure 4). This illustrates the higher nutrient concentration under the decaying brash mats in the RBZ, which were left on site 5 yr before the present study. The DRP concentration reduced close to the stream edge due to the adsorption capacity of the mineral-rich peat near the stream (discussed in Section 4.3). The high concentrations of DRP in the subsurface water under the brash mats and surrounding areas did not leach to the stream, as frequent analysis of stream water upstream and downstream of the buffer showed that it remained at between  $4 - 10 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  (Figure 5). These values represent no change from buffer creation (May 2006 - January 2007) (Ryder et al., unpublished report), when MRP concentrations were approximately  $5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  in the stream. This is similar to the concentration in the rain water in the area, which was approximately 6

474  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  (data not shown; figure based on 5 random rain samples analysed by the authors  
475 over the study period).

476  
477 Brash mats were created on site during clearfelling to protect the peat from  
478 consolidation due to heavy machinery. Since creation of the RBZ in May 2006, 5 yr  
479 prior to the present study, the peat was fertilised by these brash mats, as seen in Figure  
480 4, and may easily have been removed from site for commercial purposes (Forest  
481 Research, 2009). However, peat is considered highly vulnerable to a loss in fertility  
482 and the removal of brash material from site can deplete the amount of base cations and  
483 reduce available nutrients for the future growth of trees (Forest Research, 2009). The  
484 potential loss of nutrients may be minimised through careful timing of brash removal  
485 after the needles have fallen. Needles contain half-to-two thirds of total nutrients of the  
486 brash material and the needle drop time period may occur anywhere from 3 to 9 mo  
487 following clearfelling, depending on local climate and season (Forest Research, 2009).  
488 Hyvönen et al. (2000) showed that after 6 – 8 yr of decomposition, needles and twigs  
489 provided more nutrients for future tree growth as opposed to larger branches, and the  
490 decomposition rate (and therefore nutrient addition) decreased with increasing branch  
491 diameter. It was noted by Hyvönen et al. (2000), however, that 16 yr after clearfelling,  
492 the decomposing branches increased the carbon content of the forest floor by up to 50  
493 – 100 % and woody logging residues provided more N and P release than needles.  
494 Nutrient release from decaying brash may enter sensitive receiving waters (Stevens et  
495 al., 1995), but this did not occur at the site of the present study. However, if brash was  
496 to be removed from peatland sites following fertilisation from needle drop time period,

other factors such as sediment release and economic value of degraded brash would need to be considered.

### **3.3. Soil Analysis**

The WEP was highest in the vegetated areas and under the brash mats in the RBZ (Figure 6) due to the leaching of P from the decaying brash mat into the soil in the 5 yr since the creation of the buffer zone. Similar results were obtained by Rodgers et al. (2010), who found that WEP under windrows of brash was significantly higher than WEP in a windrow/brash-free area. The impact of the brash on WEP is a function of the length of time it is left on site and the time taken for regeneration of vegetation to occur (Macrea et al., 2005). The role of vegetation in nutrient uptake was investigated by O' Driscoll et al. (2011), who measured WEP concentrations of 6–9 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil from a seeded plot in contrast to 27 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil from an unplanted plot positioned on a recently harvested site. As the brash mats run perpendicular to the flow of water in the present study, any vegetated areas down-slope from the brash mats, but not directly overlain by brash mats, were also affected. Water extractable phosphorus decreased closer to the stream, but this reduction was due to the presence of a mineral-rich peat along the bank of the stream, which protected the water-course from increases in nutrient concentration. As there is a strong correlation between WEP measured in peat and DRP concentration in surface runoff (O' Driscoll et al., 2011), the potential for high concentrations of DRP in surface runoff from under the brash mats is high.

The desorption-adsorption isotherms of P adsorption by weight ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$  dry material) showed that, while the P adsorption capacity was of the same magnitude in all areas for the 0 – 0.15 m depth examined, more P adsorption took place at a distance of 1 m from the stream at the 0.15 – 0.3 m depth (Figure 7). This was due to the mineral-rich peat at this location. At both depths, the peat directly underneath the brash mat appeared to be at P saturation and had little remaining adsorption capacity (reflecting the high DRP concentrations at these points; Figure 4). As is typical for soils with a low P retention capacity, the P adsorbed to the peat continued to rise with higher P solutions and a maximum adsorption value was not reached (Väänänen et al., 2007). Desorption of P from the peat in all areas and at both depths occurred when it was overlain with water with a P concentration of  $0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  (Figure 7). This was greatest under the brash mats and under the vegetated areas, especially at the 0 – 0.15 m depth.

When the results were expressed per volume of dry material ( $\text{mg cm}^{-3}$ ) and the bulk densities of the mineral-rich peat soil 1 m from the stream (approximately  $1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) and the peat further up the buffer (35 – 45 m from the stream; approximately  $0.1 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) were considered, the differences in the adsorption capacity of the soils was more pronounced: the P adsorption capacity of the mineral-rich peat 1 m from the stream were much higher than the peat layers further up the buffer. Loss on ignition analysis showed that there was 90 – 95 % mineral content in the samples 1 m from the stream, while the samples further back from the stream (35 – 45 m) had a 98 – 100 % organic content. This trend was similar to what was found by Väänänen et al. (2006) in their study on peat and mineral soils in Finland.

#### 4. Conclusions

1. The created RBZ was capable of providing nutrients to planted saplings, fertilizing the peat with degrading brash material and preventing elevated levels of nutrients entering the adjacent water-course. This indicates that a created RBZ is a realistic management option in peatland forests.
2. The overall survival rate of the planted saplings in the RBZ was relatively high, with over half of oak, rowan and birch saplings surviving after 5 yr. The survival of willow, alder and holly was not as successful, possibly due to a number of factors including the exposed nature of the site, peat depth, maintenance, cultivation and fertilization.
3. Dissolved reactive phosphorus concentrations were significantly higher under the brash mats in the RBZ compared to all other areas. These high concentrations of DRP were due to the degrading brash mats left on site following clearfelling. However, this did not leach to the stream as the concentration of DRP upstream and downstream of the buffer remained low throughout the study. The standing forest had the highest concentrations of  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ , while TON was similar for all areas. It is recommended to leave brash mats on site following clearfelling to fertilise the site and to reduce disturbance to the vulnerable peat sites.
4. Water extractable phosphorus and desorption-adsorption testing also confirmed the high concentrations of P under the brash mats. Water extractable phosphorus was highest in the vegetated areas and under the brash mats in the



RBZ. Desorption of P was highest under the brash mats and adsorption was greatest in the mineral-rich peat soil adjacent to the stream.

## **5. Acknowledgements**

This work was funded by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food and the Environmental Protection Agency under the STRIVE program 2007 – 2013. The authors wish to acknowledge the input of Coillte in allowing access to state forestry for this project, and for providing logistical support and advice. A special thanks to Dr. Michael Rodgers and all at the Marine Institute for their continued help throughout this project and for supplying the orthophotography.

## References

- Allott, N., McGinnity, P., O’Hea, B., 2005. Factors influencing the downstream transport of sediment in the Lough Feeagh catchment, Burrishoole, Co.Mayo, Ireland. *Freshwater Forum*. 23, 126-138.
- APHA, 1998. Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater. 19<sup>th</sup> ed. American Public Health Association, Washington.
- Aust, W.M., Blinn, C.R., 2004. Forestry best management practices for timber harvesting and site preparation in the eastern United States: An overview of water quality and productivity research during the past 20 years (1982–2002). *Wat. Air Soil Poll.* 4, 5-36.
- Bacon, P., 2003. Forestry: A growth industry in Ireland. (Assessed 17<sup>th</sup> February 2011) <http://www.coillte.ie/fileadmin/templates/pdfs/BaconReport.pdf>.
- Bain, C.G., Bonn, A., Stoneman, R., Chapman, S., Coupar, A., Evans, M., Gearey, B., Howat, M., Joosten, H., Keenleyside, C., Labadz, J., Lindsay, R., Littlewood, N., Lunt, P., Miller, C.J., Moxey, A., Orr, H., Reed, M., Smith, P., Swales, V., Thompson, D.B.A., Thompson, P.S., Van de Noort, R., Wilson, J.D., Worrall, F., 2011. IUCN UK commission of inquiry on peatlands. (Assessed 11<sup>th</sup> February 2012) <http://www.iucn-uk-peatlandprogramme.org/commission/>
- Bowman, J., 2009. New Water Framework Directive environmental quality standards and biological and hydromorphological classification systems for surface waters in Ireland. *Biol. and Environ: Proc. Roy. Ir. Acad.* 109B, 247–60.
- Broadmeadow, S., Nisbet, T.R., 2004. The effects of riparian forest management on the freshwater environment: A literature review of best management practice. *Hydrol. Earth Sys. Sci.* 8, 286-305.

616 Carling, P. A., Irvine, B. J., Hill, A., Wood, M., 2001. Reducing sediment inputs to Scottish  
617 streams: A review of the efficacy of soil conservation practices in upland forestry. *Sci.*  
618 *Tot. Environ.* 265: 209-227.

619 Coillte, 2012. Retrieved 21 May 2012, from  
620 [http://www.coillte.ie/coillteforest/responsible\\_forest\\_management\\_and\\_certification/c](http://www.coillte.ie/coillteforest/responsible_forest_management_and_certification/certification_introduction/)  
621 [ertification\\_introduction/](http://www.coillte.ie/coillteforest/responsible_forest_management_and_certification/certification_introduction/).

622 Coillte, 2011. 2011 – 2015 W3 District Strategic Plan. In, Connemara & Mayo. (Assessed 20<sup>th</sup>  
623 May 2012) [http://www.coillte.ie/fileadmin/templates/pdfs/dsp/W3-2011-2015-DSP-](http://www.coillte.ie/fileadmin/templates/pdfs/dsp/W3-2011-2015-DSP-new2.pdf)  
624 [new2.pdf](http://www.coillte.ie/fileadmin/templates/pdfs/dsp/W3-2011-2015-DSP-new2.pdf)

625 Cummins, T., Farrell, E.P., 2003. Biogeochemical impacts of clearfelling and reforestation on  
626 blanket peatland streams I. phosphorus. *For. Ecol. Man.* 180, 545-555.

627 Daniel, T.C., Sharpley, A.N., Lemunyon, J.L., USDA, A., USDA, N., 1998. Agricultural  
628 phosphorus and eutrophication: a symposium overview. *J. Environ. Qual.* 27, 251-257.

629 EEA. 2004. Revision of the assessment of forest creation and afforestation in Ireland. Forest  
630 Network Newsletter. (Assessed 21<sup>st</sup> April 2011)  
631 [http://www.woodlandleague.org/documents/ForestryInIreland/Appendices/4\\_Irelands](http://www.woodlandleague.org/documents/ForestryInIreland/Appendices/4_IrelandsCommitmentsToSFM/documents/Ref20_FNN150.pdf)  
632 [CommitmentsToSFM/documents/Ref20\\_FNN150.pdf](http://www.woodlandleague.org/documents/ForestryInIreland/Appendices/4_IrelandsCommitmentsToSFM/documents/Ref20_FNN150.pdf)

633 Elrashidi, M.A., 2011. Selection of an appropriate phosphorus test for Soils. (Assessed 12<sup>th</sup>  
634 June 2011) [ftp://ftp-fc.sc.egov.usda.gov/NSSC/Analytical\\_Soils/phosphor.pdf](ftp://ftp-fc.sc.egov.usda.gov/NSSC/Analytical_Soils/phosphor.pdf).

635 EPA, 2005. Phosphorus regulations national implementation report. (Assessed 14<sup>th</sup> May 2011)  
636 [http://www.epa.ie/downloads/pubs/water/phosphorus/EPA\\_phosphorus\\_report\\_2005.p](http://www.epa.ie/downloads/pubs/water/phosphorus/EPA_phosphorus_report_2005.pdf)  
637 [df](http://www.epa.ie/downloads/pubs/water/phosphorus/EPA_phosphorus_report_2005.pdf).

638 Farmer, R.A., Nisbet, T.R., 2004. An overview of forest management and change with respect  
639 to environmental protection in the UK. *Hydrol. Earth Sys. Sci.* 8, 279-285.

640 Forest Research, 2009. Guidance on site selection for brash removal. (Assessed 22<sup>nd</sup> October  
641 2011)[http://www.biomassenergycentre.org.uk/pls/portal/docs/PAGE/BEC\\_TECHNIC](http://www.biomassenergycentre.org.uk/pls/portal/docs/PAGE/BEC_TECHNICAL/BEST%20PRACTICE/BRASH_RESIDUE_PROTOCOL-1.PDF)  
642 [AL/BEST%20PRACTICE/BRASH\\_RESIDUE\\_PROTOCOL-1.PDF](http://www.biomassenergycentre.org.uk/pls/portal/docs/PAGE/BEC_TECHNICAL/BEST%20PRACTICE/BRASH_RESIDUE_PROTOCOL-1.PDF).

643 Forest Service, 2000. Forest and water quality guidelines. (Assessed 14<sup>th</sup> November 2011)  
644 [http://www.agriculture.gov.ie/media/migration/forestry/publications/water\\_quality.pdf](http://www.agriculture.gov.ie/media/migration/forestry/publications/water_quality.pdf)

645 Frost, I., Rydin, H., 1997. Effects of Competition, Grazing and Cotyledon Nutrient Supply on  
646 Growth of *Quercus robur* Seedlings. *Oikos*. 79, 53-58.

647 Gerasimov, Y. and Katarov V., 2010. Effect of bogie track and slash reinforcement on sinkage  
648 and soil compaction in soft terrains. *Croat. J. For. Eng.* 31, 35-45.

649 Giesler, R., Andersson, T., Lövgren, L., Persson, P., 2005. Phosphate sorption in aluminum-  
650 and iron-rich humus soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 69, 77-86.

651 Goudie, A., 2006. The human impact on the natural environment: past, present and future.  
652 Sixth ed. Blackwell Sci., New Jersey.

653 Haygarth, P.M., Condron, L.M., Heathwaite, A.L., Turner, B.L., Harris, G.P., 2005. The  
654 phosphorus transfer continuum: Linking source to impact with an interdisciplinary and  
655 multi-scaled approach. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 344, 5-14.

656 Haygarth, P.M., Hepworth, L., Jarvis, S.C., 1998. Forms of phosphorus transfer in  
657 hydrological pathways from soil under grazed grassland. *Euro. J. Soil Sci.* 49, 65-72.

658 Haygarth, P.M., Warwick, M.S., House, W.A., 1997. Size distribution of colloidal molybdate  
659 reactive phosphorus in river waters and soil solution. *Wat. Res.* 30, 439-448.

660 Hutton, SA., Harrison, SSC., O'Halloran, J., 2008. An evaluation of the role of forests and  
661 forest practices in the eutrophication and sedimentation of receiving waters. (Accessed  
662 7<sup>th</sup> May 2012)  
663 [http://www.wfdireland.ie/docs/22\\_ForestAndWater/Forest%20and%20water%20Eutro](http://www.wfdireland.ie/docs/22_ForestAndWater/Forest%20and%20water%20Eutrophication_Sedimentation%20Literature%20review%20.pdf)  
664 [phication\\_Sedimentation%20Literature%20review%20.pdf](http://www.wfdireland.ie/docs/22_ForestAndWater/Forest%20and%20water%20Eutrophication_Sedimentation%20Literature%20review%20.pdf)

665 Hyvönen, R., Olsson, B.A., Lundkvist, H., Staaf, H., 2000. Decomposition and nutrient release  
666 from *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. and *Pinus sylvestris* L. logging residues. *For. Ecol. Man.*  
667 126, 97-112.

668 Joosten, H., Clarke, D., 2002. Wise use of mires and peatlands. A framework for decision-  
669 making. (Assessed 9<sup>th</sup> February 2012). <http://www.gret->

[perg.ulaval.ca/fileadmin/fichiers/fichiersGRET/pdf/Doc\\_generale/WUMP Wise Use  
of Mires and Peatlands book.pdf](http://perg.ulaval.ca/fileadmin/fichiers/fichiersGRET/pdf/Doc_generale/WUMP_Wise_Use_of_Mires_and_Peatlands_book.pdf)

Kominoski, J. S., S. Larrañaga, Richardson, John S., 2012. Invertebrate feeding and emergence timing vary among streams along a gradient of riparian forest composition. Freshwat. Biol. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2012.02740.x

Luke, S.H., Luckai, N.J., Burke, J.M., Prepas, E.E., 2007. Riparian areas in the Canadian boreal forest and linkages with water quality in streams. Environ. Rev. 15, 79-97.

Long, M., Jennings, P., 2006. Analysis of the peat slide at Pollatomish, County Mayo, Ireland. Landslides. 3, 51-61.

Macrae, M.L., Redding, T.E., Creed, I.F., Bell, W.R., Devito, K.J., 2005. Soil, surface water and ground water phosphorus relationships in a partially harvested Boreal Plain aspen catchment. For. Ecol. Man. 206, 315-329.

McConnell, B. and Gatley, S. 2006. Bedrock Geology of Ireland. Derived from the Geological Survey of Ireland 1:100,000 Bedrock map series and the geological survey of Northern Ireland 1:250,000 Geological Map of Northern Ireland. (Assessed 10<sup>th</sup> February 2012). <http://www.gsi.ie/Programmes/Bedrock/Projects/GSI+publishes+All+Ireland+bedrock+map.htm>

Müller, M., 2000. Hydro-geographical studies in the Burrishoole catchment, Newport, Co. Mayo, Ireland: effect of afforestation on the run-off regime of small mountain spate river catchments. SIL. 27, 1146–8.

National Forest Inventory, 2007. National Forest Inventory Republic of Ireland - Results. (Assessed 28<sup>th</sup> May 2011). <http://www.agriculture.gov.ie/media/migration/forestry/nationalforestinventory/nationalforestinventorypublications/4330NFIResults.pdf>

694 O'Driscoll, C., Rodgers, M., O'Connor, M., Asam, Z.-u.-Z., de Eyto, E., Poole, R., Xiao, L.,  
 695 2011. A potential solution to mitigate phosphorus release following clearfelling in  
 696 peatland forest catchments. *Wat. Air Soil Poll.* 221, 1-11.  
 697 Ormerod, S.J., Rundle, S.D., Lloyd, E.C., Douglas, A.A., 1993. The influence of riparian  
 698 management on the habitat structure and macroinvertebrate communities of upland  
 699 streams draining plantation forests. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 30, 13-24.  
 700 Owende, P.M.O., Lyons, J., Haarlaa, R., Peltola, A., Spinelli, R., Molano, J., Ward, S.M.,  
 701 2002. Operations protocol for eco-efficient wood harvesting on sensitive sites.  
 702 (Assessed 6<sup>th</sup> January 2012). <http://www.ucd.ie/foresteng/html/ecowood/op.pdf>  
 703 Parkyn, S.M., Davies-Colley, R.J., Cooper, A.B., Stroud, M.J., 2005. Predictions of stream  
 704 nutrient and sediment yield changes following restoration of forested riparian buffers.  
 705 *Ecol. Eng.* 24, 551-558.  
 706 Regan, J.T., Rodgers, M., Healy, M.G., Kirwan, L., Fenton, O., 2010. Determining phosphorus  
 707 and sediment release rates from five irish tillage soils. *J. Environ. Qual.* 39, 185-192.  
 708 Renou-Wilson, F., Keane, M., Farrell, E.P., 2008. Establishing oak woodland on cutaway  
 709 peatlands: Effects of soil preparation and fertilization. *For. Ecol. Man.* 255, 728-737.  
 710 Renou, F., Scallan, Ú., Keane, M., Farrell, E.P., 2007. Early performance of native birch  
 711 (*Betula* spp.) planted on cutaway peatlands: Influence of species, stock types and  
 712 seedlings size. *Euro. J. For. Res.* 126, 545-554.  
 713 Rodgers, M., O'Connor, M., Healy, M.G., O'Driscoll, C., Asam, Z.-u.-Z., Nieminen, M., Poole,  
 714 R., Müller, M., Xiao, L., 2010. Phosphorus release from forest harvesting on an upland  
 715 blanket peat catchment. *For. Ecol. Man.* 260, 2241-2248.  
 716 Rodgers, M., O'Connor, M., Robinson, M., Muller, M., Poole, R., Xiao, L., 2011. Suspended  
 717 solid yield from forest harvesting on upland blanket peat. *Hydrol. Proc.* 25, 207-216.  
 718 Ryder, L., de Eyto, E., Gormally, M., Sheehy Skeffington, M., Dillane, M., Poole, R., 2011.  
 719 Riparian zone creation in established coniferous forests in Irish upland peat

720 catchments: Physical, chemical and biological implications. Biol. and Environ: Proc.  
 721 Roy. Ir. Acad. 111B, 1-20.

722 Sharpley, A.N., Daniel, T., Sims, T., Lemunyon, T., Stevens, S., Parry, R., 2003. Agricultural  
 723 Phosphorus and Eutrophication. (Assessed 15<sup>th</sup> January 2011).  
 724 <http://www.ars.usda.gov/is/np/phos&eutro2/agphoseutro2ed.pdf>

725 Sjörs, H., 1980. Peat on Earth: Multiple Use or Conservation? Ambio. 9, 303-308.

726 Strahler, A.N., 1957. Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. Trans. of the  
 727 American Geophys. Union 38, 913-920.

728 Syversen, N., Borch, H., 2005. Retention of soil particle fractions and phosphorus in cold-  
 729 climate buffer zones. Ecol. Eng. 25, 382-394.


730 Väänänen, R., Nieminen, M., Vuollekoski, M., Nousiainen, H., Sallantausta, T., Tuittila, E.S.,  
 731 Ilvesniemi, H., 2008. Retention of phosphorus in peatland buffer zones at six forested  
 732 catchments in southern Finland. Silva Fennica. 42, 211-231.

733 Väänänen, R., Kenttämies, K., Nieminen, M., Ilvesniemi, H., 2007. Phosphorus retention  
 734 properties of forest humus layer in buffer zones and clear-cut areas in southern  
 735 Finland. Boreal Environ. Res. 12, 601-609.

736 Väänänen, R., Nieminen, M., Vuollekoski, M., Ilvesniemi, H., 2006. Retention of phosphorus  
 737 in soil and vegetation of a buffer zone area during snowmelt peak flow in southern  
 738 Finland. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 177, 103-118.


739 Walker, B. H., 1992. Biodiversity and Ecological Redundancy. Conserv. Bio. 6, 18-23.

**Figure 1.** Location of Altaconey Riparian Buffer Zone (RBZ) with all standpipes (20, 50 and 100 cm depths), stream sampling locations upstream and downstream of buffer, and rain gauge.







754 **Figure 2.** Sapling plot planting locations




**Figure 3.** Percentage average increase in height of surviving saplings on site from April 2007 to August 2011 per tree species. Error bars indicate standard error.




**Figure 4.** Average dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) concentration from 20 cm, 50 cm and 100 cm depths below the ground surface measured over a 12 month period (April 2010 – April 2011) and expressed as  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$




**Figure 5.** Dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) concentration measured over a 12 month period (April 2010 – April 2011) and expressed as  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  in stream water upstream and downstream of the RBZ.




**Figure 6.** Water extractable Phosphorus (WEP) concentration ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  dry soil) in riparian buffer zone (1 m from the stream, under brash and vegetated area) and forest at 0 – 0.1 m and 0.1 – 0.5 m depths. Error bars indicate standard deviation.




**Figure 7:** Phosphorus (P) adsorption isotherms in riparian buffer zone and forest by weight ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ ) on left and by volume ( $\text{mg cm}^{-3}$ ) on right at 0 – 0.15 m (top) and 0.15-0.30 m (bottom) depths. Log scale on X axis for clarity.



840 **Figure 8:** Box Plots of dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) (top), ammonium-N  
841 ( $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ ) (middle) and total oxidized nitrogen (TON) (bottom) for regenerated buffer  
842 area (1 m from the stream, under brash mats and under the vegetated area) and  
843 standing forest at 20 cm, 50 cm and 100 cm depths from April 2010 – April 2011. All  
844 units are  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ .







866 **Table 1:** Description of the location and composition of the sapling planting regime in  
867 April 2007, post clearfelling and surviving trees in August 2011.

Plot No.	April 2007						August 2011					
	Birch	Oak	Rowan	Willow	Holly	Alder	Birch	Oak	Rowan	Willow	Holly	Alder
1	9	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
3	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
4	9	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-
7	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
8	9	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
9	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
10	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
11	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
12	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
13	6	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	1	-	-	-
14	9	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
15	6	-	3	-	-	-	6	-	1	-	-	-
16	6	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-
17	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
18	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
19	6	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	2	-	-	-
20	6	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-
21	9	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
22	6	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	1
23	6	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	1
24	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
25	9	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
26	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
27	6	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	-	-	1
28	6	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	-	-	-
29	6	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
30	-	-	6	-	3	-	-	-	5	-	1	-
31	-	-	6	-	3	-	-	-	6	-	2	-
32	-	-	6	-	3	-	-	-	6	-	1	-
33	9	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
Total	141	63	63	9	9	12	72	36	36	2	4	3
					% Survival		51%	57%	57%	22%	44%	25%

868